

**CCYD Meeting
January 22, 2007; 2-4
AGENDA**

1. UPDATE FROM CAPITAL VISITS
2. REVIEW OF 11-13 PLANNING MEETING
3. CONTINUING TO MOVE FORWARD- NEXT STEPS

The CA Collaborative for Youth Development has decided to work in two ways under the area of youth policy. Attached is the list of offices contacted by our group as a result of our December 4th visits to the Capitol. The list designates which legislative offices may support our youth issues work. Here are our projects:

Task 1: The first task is to submit for legislation that will allow CA to comply with the new federal Osborne Act, a part of the Older Americans Act (attached). The strategy for this is to take vetoed SB 215 (2003), described below, as a starting place and revise it to reflect the criteria established by the Osborne Act. The collaborative will submit by Friday, January 26 deadline in the hope to find an author by February 23rd. Some history:

Youth Policy Act and California Youth Policy Council

Senate Bill (SB) 215, introduced by Senator Dede Alpert in 2003, proposed to create the Youth Policy Act and a California Youth Policy Council (CYPC) within the Governor's Office to promote youth development and coordinate state youth programs and policy. The Council was intended to provide the mechanism for policymakers across state systems (education, health, human services, youth employment, and juvenile justice) to plan and act in new, cross-sector ways to maximize state resources to promote positive outcomes.)

Placing this coordinating body in the Governor's Office would have provided it with the authority to require collaboration and coordination by all of the youth-serving departments. The CYPC would have developed an overarching multidisciplinary youth policy framework to guide, coordinate, and monitor youth services across departmental lines. It proposed to:

- * Conduct ongoing assessments of the array of state services, supports, and opportunities available to youth.
- * Develop strategies for streamlining state procedures and avoiding costs.

1. Create common standards for assessing whether state policy promotes positive youth development, and a cross-sector method for collecting and reporting youth outcome indicators.
2. Establish evaluation criteria and program quality standards to be applied across departments and agencies, and develop capacity building strategies to assist departments and agencies to meet and exceed those standards.
3. Strengthen alliances among youth organizations and individuals across systems.

Governor Schwarzenegger vetoed SB 215. His veto message stated that statutory authority was not necessary to create advisory councils, and that the Legislature and Administration already had several existing resources from which to get advice.

Task 1: Jim Kooler, Maureen Sedonaen, Amy Dean

Task 2: Our second area will be to seek support for a Select Committee on Family, Child & Youth Development, perhaps as a Joint Legislative Committee. For the past two years California has had neither a statewide entity (State Youth Council) nor any legislative committee with specific responsibility for insuring the well being of California's young people. The group is prepared to begin work on this task in February, a white paper has been written as a launching place for the efforts.

Task 2: Leslie Fritz, Gordon Sloss, Bernie Davitto, Jim Kooler, Maureen Sedonaen, Jennifer Lyle, Jim Kooler

Meetings: CCYD meetings will be the 4th Monday of each month from 4:00-6:00pm for February, March & April at the California Research Bureau, CA State Library, 900 N Street, Sacramento. Meeting room to be posted at the elevators each month.